arXiv:gr-qc/0505118v1 23 May 2005

The Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell(EVM) System with Spherical Symmetry

P. Noundjeu
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Yaounde 1,
PO Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroun
e-mail: noundjeu@uycdc.uninet.cm or pnoundjeu@yahoo.fr

Abstract

We look for the global in time solution of the Cauchy problem corresponding to the asymptotically flat spherically symmetric E.V.M system with small initial data. Using an estimate, we also prove that if solution of the system stated above develops a singularity at all time, then the first one has to appear at the center of symmetry.

1 Introduction

The EVM system models the time evolution of self-gravitating collisionless charged particles in the context of general relativity. The particle could be for instance the electrons in a plasma. As it is proved in [8], that system in the context of spherical symmetry has nice properties. Firstly, the electromagnetic field $F_{\alpha\beta}$ created by the fast moving particles reduces to its electric part and secondly if $f = 0$ then $F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and the latter is not true in the case without spherical symmetry, where it is possible to have non trivial source-free solutions of the Maxwell equations.

In [7], the authors established with the help of an iterative scheme one local existence theorem and a result on the continuation criterion of solutions is deduced. Note that these results are valid only for the Cauchy problem with small initial data. Here, we aim to establish a global existence theorem of solutions for the asymptotically flat spherically symmetric EVM system. To do so, contrary to the uncharged case, we defined a set of initial data in such a way that for fixed $\overset{\circ}{f}$, the solution $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ of the constraint equations(see [6]) satisfies the condition that $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ is bounded in the L^∞-norm. The latter is possible, provide that the charge q is small. Note that in the above, $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{e}$, $\overset{\circ}{f}$) is the initial datum of the metric function $\lambda(t, r)$(resp. electric field $e(t, r)$, distribution function of the particles f). So, the global existence theorem we obtain, generalize that established by G. Rein and AD. Rendall in [12].

Next, for the related literature, global existence for the Vlasov-Maxwell system without symmetry is not known in general. The most general result which has been proved is for a case with one-dimensional symmetry group [3]. Global existence for the Yang-Mills equations in Minkowski space was proved by Eardley and Moncrief [2] and another proof by quite different methods was given by Klainerman and Machedon [5]. Global existence for the Yang-Mills equations on a general globally hyperbolic spacetime was proved by Chruściel and Shatah [1].

Now, in the case of spherical symmetry, the initial boundary value problem for the asymptotically flat EVM system admits the global solution and the obtained spacetime is geodesically complete i.e each geodesic is defined on $\mathbb{R}$. So, it is well known in general relativity that this kind of solution does not develop a singularity. Concerning the generic initial data, it is proved by A.D. Rendall [14] that a singularity may occur. Also, there is a related result of G. Rein , A.D Rendall and J.A Schaeffer [11] which states that for the asymptotically flat spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov system, if solutions develop a singularity at all time then the first one has to occur at the center of symmetry, and also if particles remain away from the center, the local solution of Cauchy problem for that system with a given initial data can be extended to obtain the global one. That results concern uncharged particles.

It would be interesting to see what happens in the case of charged particles. More precisely, is it possible to generalize the above results in the context of charged particles? The answer is yes and this is the second important result of this paper, the first one being the global existence theorem we stated earlier.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Sect.2, we formulate the Cauchy problem. In Sect.3, we show that if the charge is small then one can choose a set of initial data so that solutions depend continuously on the latter. In Sect.4, we establish a global existence theorem of solution for the corresponding initial boundary value problem and the obtained spacetime is geodesically complete. In Sect.5, we establish the main estimates we use to prove the global existence theorem in the case that all particles remain away from the center. In Sect.6, we prove a local existence theorem and a continuation criterion for the exterior problem. Sect.7 contains the essential result obtained using all what have been established in the last two sections above.

2 The Cauchy Problem

We consider fast moving collisionless particles with charge q. The basic spacetime is $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$, with g a Lorentzian metric with signature $(-,+,+,+)$. In what follows, we assume that Greek indices run from 0 to 3 and Latin indices from 1 to 3, unless otherwise specified. We also adopt the Einstein summation convention, both the gravitational constant and the speed of light are setting to unity. Now, Using the assumption of spherical symmetry, one obtains with the help of the Killing equation for instance that g can be taken of the following form [9]:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\mu}dt^2 + e^{2\lambda}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + (\sin\theta)^2 d\varphi^2) \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mu = \mu(t,r)$; $\lambda = \lambda(t,r)$; $t \in \mathbb{R}$; $r \in [0,+\infty[$; $\theta \in [0,\pi]$; $\varphi \in [0,2\pi]$. One shows (see [8]) that the spherically symmetric EVM system can be written as the following P.D.E system in λ, μ, f, e:

$$e^{-2\lambda}(2r\lambda' - 1) + 1 = 8\pi r^2 \rho \tag{2.2}$$

$$e^{-2\lambda}(2r\mu' - 1) + 1 = 8\pi r^2 p \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + e^{\mu-\lambda}\frac{v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}}.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}} - \left(e^{\mu-\lambda}\mu'\sqrt{1+v^2} + \dot{\lambda}\frac{\tilde{x}.v}{r} - qe^{\lambda+\mu}e(t,r)\right)\frac{\tilde{x}}{r}.\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2 e^{\lambda} e) = qr^2 e^{\lambda} M \tag{2.5}$$

where $\lambda' = \frac{d\lambda}{dr}$; $\dot{\lambda} = \frac{d\lambda}{dt}$ and:

$$\rho(t,\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,\tilde{x},v)\sqrt{1+v^2}dv + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\lambda(t,\tilde{x})}e^2(t,\tilde{x}) \tag{2.6}$$

$$p(t,\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\tilde{x}.v}{r}\right)^2 f(t,\tilde{x},v)\frac{dv}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} - \frac{1}{2}e^{2\lambda(t,\tilde{x})}e^2(t,\tilde{x}) \tag{2.7}$$

$$M(t,\tilde{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,\tilde{x},v)dv. \tag{2.8}$$

Here (2.2) and (2.3) are the Einstein equations for λ and μ, (2.4) is the Vlasov equation for f, f being the distribution function of the charged particles which is defined on the mass-shell and (2.5) is the Maxwell equation for e. Here $\tilde{x} := (x^i)$ and v belong to $\mathbb{R}^3$, $r := \mid \tilde{x} \mid$, $\tilde{x}.v$ denotes the usual scalar product of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $v^2 := v.v$. The distribution function f is assumed to be invariant under simultaneous rotations of $\tilde{x}$ and v, hence ρ, p and M can be regarded as functions of t and r. It is assumed that $f(t)$ has compact support for each fixed t. We are interested in asymptotically flat spacetime with a regular center, which leads to the boundary conditions that:

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\lambda(t,r) = \lim_{r\to\infty}\mu(t,r) = \lambda(t,0) = \lim_{r\to\infty}e(t,r) = e(t,0) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

Now, define the initial data by:

$$\begin{cases} f(0,\tilde{x},v) = \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x},v); \quad \lambda(0,\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\lambda}(r) \\ \mu(0,\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r); \quad e(0,\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{e}(\tilde{x}) = \overset{\circ}{e}(r) \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

with $\overset{\circ}{f}$ being a C^∞ function with compact support, which is nonnegative and spherically symmetric, i.e

$$\forall A \in SO(3),\, \forall(\tilde{x},v) \in \mathbb{R}^6,\, \overset{\circ}{f}(A\tilde{x},Av) = \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x},v).$$

We aim to solve the initial boundary value problem (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.9) and (2.10).

Remark 2.1 *Note that the assumption* $e(t,0) = 0$ *makes sense. To see the latter, we use the fact that the electric field* $E(t,\tilde{x}) := e(t,\tilde{x})\frac{\tilde{x}}{|\tilde{x}|}$ *is spherically symmetric in the* $\tilde{x}$ *variable i.e* $AE = E$ *for every* $A \in SO(3)$ *and once* $E(t,0) = 0$*, one easily deduces the announced result.*

3 Continuous dependence on the initial data

In this section, we prove that solutions depend continuously on their initial data. Besides being of interest in itself for physically viable theory (cf.[[16], p. 243f]), the results of this section will be applied in the proof of global existence for small data in the next section. Before doing so, we recall the local existence theorem we proved in [7] on which our present result relies. The constraint equations are obtained setting $t = 0$ in (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5). Using perturbation techniques, it is proved in [6] that for $\overset{\circ}{f}$ small the constraint equations has a global smooth (i.e C^∞) solution. First of all, we need to make precise the notion of regularity we use in the sequel.

Definition 3.1 *A solution* (f, λ, μ, e) *of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), is said to be regular if:*

(i) f *is nonnegative, spherically symmetric,* C^1*, and* $f(t)$ *is compactly supported for all* t*,*

(ii) $\lambda \geq 0$*,* $\mu \leq 0$*, and* λ*,* μ*,* λ'*,* μ' *and* e *are* C^1 *which* λ*,* μ *and* e *satisfying the boundary condition (2.9).*

Theorem 3.1 (local existence, continuation criterion) *Let* $\overset{\circ}{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$ *be nonnegative, compactly supported and spherically symmetric such that:*

$$8\pi \int_0^r \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{f}(r,v)\sqrt{1+v^2}dv \right) ds < r \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *be a regular solution of the constraint equations. Then there exists a unique regular solution* (λ, μ, f, e) *of the asymptotically flat spherically symmetric EVM system with initial data* $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ *on a maximal interval* $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *of existence which contains* 0*. If*

$$\sup\{|\, v \,|\; |\, (t,\tilde{x},v) \in \operatorname{supp} f, \quad t \geq 0\} < +\infty$$

then $\sup I = +\infty$*, if*

$$\sup\{|\, v \,|\; |\, (t,\tilde{x},v) \in \operatorname{supp} f, \quad t \leq 0\} < +\infty$$

then $\inf I = -\infty$*.*

Proof: See [7].

For $r_0 > 0$, $u_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$, we consider the following set of initial data:

$$\begin{aligned} D := \{ & (\mathring{f}, \mathring{\lambda}, \mathring{e}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6) \times (C^1([0, +\infty[))^2, \quad \mathring{f} \geq 0, \text{spherically symmetric,} \\ & \text{and satisfies} \quad (3.1) \quad \text{supp}\mathring{f} \subset B(r_0) \times B(u_0) \text{and} \quad (\mathring{\lambda}, \mathring{e}) \\ & \text{is a regular solution of the constraints with } \| \mathring{\lambda} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \Lambda \}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix the solution $(\lambda_g, \mu_g, e_g, g)$ of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) with initial datum $(\mathring{\lambda}_g, \mathring{e}_g, \mathring{g}) \in D$ and right maximal existence interval(r.m.e.i) $[0, T_g[$. We aim to control the distance of another solution $(\lambda_f, \mu_f, e_f, f)$ from $(\lambda_g, \mu_g, e_g, g)$ and the relation between the maximal existence times T_f and T_g in terms of distance of the initial data, $[0, T_f[$ being the r.m.e.i of $(\lambda_f, \mu_f, e_f, f)$; the whole argument would also work for $t < 0$. To do so in our context, we first have to control the distance between two solutions $(\mathring{\lambda}_f, \mathring{e}_f)$, $(\mathring{\lambda}_g, \mathring{e}_g)$ of constraint equations and the essential tool we use is the fact that we can construct a set of initial data $(\mathring{\lambda}, \mathring{e})$ such a way as the L^∞-norm of $\mathring{\lambda}$ is uniformly bounded. This comes from the continuous dependence of solutions of the constraint equations on parameter q, when q is small as it is shown in [6].

3.1 Continuous dependence of solutions for the constraint equations

Let us give the main result of this section:

Proposition 3.1 *Consider* $(\mathring{f}, \mathring{\lambda}_f, \mathring{e}_f), (\mathring{g}, \mathring{\lambda}_g, \mathring{e}_g) \in D$. *Given a sufficiently small real number* $\varepsilon > 0$, *if* $d := \| \mathring{f} - \mathring{g} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$, *then*

$$\| \mathring{\lambda}_f \|_{L^\infty}, \quad \| \mathring{e}_f \|_{L^\infty} \leq C \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| e^{\mathring{\lambda}_f} - e^{\mathring{\lambda}_g} \|_{L^\infty}, \quad \| e^{\mathring{\lambda}_f} \mathring{e}_f - e^{\mathring{\lambda}_g} \mathring{e}_g \|_{L^\infty}, \quad \| \mathring{e}_f - \mathring{e}_g \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \| \mathring{\lambda}_f - \mathring{\lambda}_g \|_{L^\infty}, \quad \| e^{2\mathring{\lambda}_f} \mathring{e}_f^2 - e^{2\mathring{\lambda}_g} \mathring{e}_g^2 \|_{L^\infty}, \quad \| e^{2\mathring{\lambda}_f} - e^{2\mathring{\lambda}_g} \|_{L^\infty} \leq Cd \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where the constant C *depends on* $r_0, u_0, \mathring{g}, \Lambda$ *and not on* $\mathring{f}$.

Proof : Take $(\mathring{f}, \mathring{\lambda}_f, \mathring{e}_f) \in D$, with $d < \varepsilon$. The bound of $\mathring{\lambda}_f$ comes immediately from the definition of D. Next, setting $t = 0$ in (2.5)and replacing f by $\mathring{f}$, we obtain by integration:

$$\mathring{e}_f(r) = \frac{q}{r^2} e^{-\mathring{\lambda}_f(r)} \int_0^r s^2 e^{\mathring{\lambda}_f(s)} \mathring{M}_f(s) ds$$

where $\overset{\circ}{M}_f$ is defined as in (2.8), replacing f by $\overset{\circ}{f}$. Distinguishing the cases $r \leq r_0$ and $r \geq r_0$, we obtain, since $\overset{\circ}{f}$ is with compact support, $\mid \overset{\circ}{e}(r) \mid \leq C$, where $C = C(r_0, u_0, \overset{\circ}{g}, \Lambda)$ is a constant , and (3.2) holds. To prove inequalities (3.3), one writes:

$$e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} - e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} = \frac{2}{r} e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g + \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} \frac{\overset{\circ}{m}_f - \overset{\circ}{m}_g}{1 + e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f}}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{m}_f = m_f(0, r)$, $m(t, r)$ being the mass function:

$$m(t, r) := 4\pi \int_0^r s^2 \rho(t, s) ds \tag{3.4}$$

and obtain using the Gronwall lemma:

$$\mid e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} - e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \mid (r) \leq C \parallel \overset{\circ}{f} - \overset{\circ}{g} \parallel_{L^\infty} \exp\left(C \int_0^r (r-s)^2 \mid \overset{\circ}{M}_f(s) \mid ds \right) \leq Cd$$

We also deduce, using the mean value theorem and for ε sufficiently small:

$$\begin{aligned} \mid \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f - \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g \mid (r) &= \mid \operatorname{Log} e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} - \operatorname{Log} e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \mid (r) \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{z} \mid \mid z - e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \mid (r) \leq C\varepsilon \right\} \mid e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} - e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \mid (r) \\ &\leq Cd, \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of inequalities in (3.3) follow immediately from those above. Thus, this ends the proof of Proposition 3.1.

3.2 Continuous dependence of solutions for the Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell on initial data

We now state the essential result of this section:

Theorem 3.2 *There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, a positive increasing function $\xi \in C([0, T_g[)$, and a positive decreasing function $\sigma \in C(]0, \varepsilon[)$ such that*

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0} \sigma(\beta) = T_g \tag{3.5}$$

and for any solution $(\lambda_f, \mu_f, e_f, f)$ with the initial datum $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f, \overset{\circ}{e}_f) \in D$ satisfying $d := \parallel \overset{\circ}{f} - \overset{\circ}{g} \parallel_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$, we have the estimates:

$$T_f > \sigma(d) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel f(t) - g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \lambda_f(t) - \lambda_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mu_f(t) - \mu_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e_f(t) - e_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \parallel e^{2\lambda_f(t)} - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \dot{\lambda}_f(t) - \dot{\lambda}_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \parallel \mu'_f(t) - \mu'_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \leq \xi(t) d \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for $t \in [0, \sigma(d)]$. The analogous assertion holds for $t \leq 0$.

Proof : To establish the estimates for the difference to two solutions at time $t > 0$ we first determine a time interval on which we get a uniform bound on the supp$f(t)$ for all solutions which the initial data are in D and close enough to $\overset{\circ}{g}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(f) : &= \sup\{t \in]0, \min(T_f, T_g)[\,| \quad \text{such that} \quad 0 \le s \le t, \\ & \parallel e_f(s) - e_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e^{2\lambda_f} e_f^2 - e^{2\lambda_g} e_g^2 \parallel_{L^\infty} (s) \\ & \parallel \dot{\lambda}_f(s) - \dot{\lambda}_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mu'_f(s) - \mu'_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} \le 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for d small enough, say $d < \varepsilon_1$ for a suitable defined $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, the estimate defining $T_0(f)$ holds at $t = 0$ so that by continuity, $T_0(f) > 0$. For a solution $(f, \lambda_f, \mu_f, e_f)$ with $d < \varepsilon_1$ the following estimates for the characteristics hold on $[0, T_0(f)[$:

$$\begin{aligned} &| \dot{x}(s) | \le 1, \\ | \dot{v}(s) | &\le C(\parallel \dot{\lambda}_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mu'_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty})(1 + | v(s) |) \\ &\le C(1 + \parallel \dot{\lambda}_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mu'_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e_f(s) \parallel_{L^\infty})(1 + | v(s) |) \end{aligned}$$

with $C = C(q)$ being a constant. Via the Gronwall inequality this implies that

$$\text{supp} f(t) \subset \{(\tilde{x}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \,| \quad | \tilde{x} | \le r_0 + t, \quad | v | \le U_g(t)\} \tag{3.8}$$

for $t \in [0, T_0(f)[$, where

$$U_g := (1 + u_0) \exp\left(C \int_0^t (1 + \parallel \dot{\lambda}_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mu'_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e_g(s) \parallel_{L^\infty}) ds \right).$$

Let C be a continuous, increasing function on $[0, T_g[$ which depends only on $(g, \lambda_g, \mu_g, e_g)$. Then we obtain the following estimates on $[0, T_0(f)[$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \rho_f(t) - \rho_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel p_f(t) - p_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel k_f(t) - k_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \parallel M_f(t) - M_g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \le C(t)(\parallel f(t) - g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \parallel_{L^\infty}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the matter quantity

$$k(t, \tilde{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\neq}} \frac{\tilde{x}.v}{r} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) dv \tag{3.10}$$

comes from the following part of the Einstein equations [7]:

$$\dot{\lambda}(t, \tilde{x}) = -4\pi r (e^{\lambda + \mu} k)(t, \tilde{x}) \tag{3.11}$$

Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{m_f(t, r)}{r} - \frac{m_g(t, r)}{r} \right|, \left| \frac{m_f(t, r)}{r^2} - \frac{m_g(t, r)}{r^2} \right| \\ &\le C(t)(\parallel f(t) - g(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \parallel_{L^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

The latter is obtained using the following equality deduced from the integration of (2.5)on $[r_0+t, r]$:

$$e^{\lambda(t,r)}e(t,r) = \left(\frac{r_0+t}{r}\right)^2 e^{\lambda(t,r_0+t)}e(t,r_0+t), \quad r \in [r_0+t, +\infty[.$$

Next as the second step, we derive an estimate for the time evolution of $f-g$ along the characteristics $Z_f = (X_f, V_f)$ corresponding to f (see [7], Proposition 3.1). Using the fact that f is constant along these characteristics, the mean value theorem and since $\mu - \lambda \leq 1$, $\mu + \lambda \leq 1$ both for f and g, one obtains the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{d}{ds}(f-g)(s, Z_f(s,t,z))\right| \leq C(s)(&\| \lambda_f(s) - \lambda_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \mu_f(s) - \mu_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &+ C(s) \| e_f(s) - e_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \\ &+ C(s)(\| \dot\lambda_f(s) - \dot\lambda_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \mu'_f(s) - \mu'_g(s) \|_{L^\infty});\end{aligned}$$

and integration of the above w.r.t s on $[0,t]$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\| f(t) - g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq \| \overset{\circ}{f} - \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} &+ C(t)\int_0^t \| \lambda_f(s) - \lambda_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}\, ds \\ &+ C(t)\int_0^t \| \mu_f(s) - \mu_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}\, ds \\ &+ C(t)\int_0^t (\| e_f(s) - e_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \dot\lambda_f(s) - \dot\lambda_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \| \mu'_f(s) - \mu'_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}\, ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Using (3.9) and the fact that $\| f(t) \|_{L^\infty} = \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \| \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} + \varepsilon_1$, we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}&\| \rho_f(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| p_f(t) \|_{L^\infty} \\ &+ \| k_f(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| N_f(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C(t), \quad t < T_0(f)\end{aligned} \tag{5.10'}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{m_f(t,r)}{r^2}\right| \leq C(t), \quad t < T_f, \quad r > 0.$$

where the quantity

$$N(t,\tilde{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\tilde{x}.v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}} f(t,\tilde{x},v)dv \tag{3.13}$$

comes from a following part of the Maxwell equations [7]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^\lambda e) = -\frac{q}{r}e^\mu N. \tag{3.14}$$

Using once again the mean value theorem, the inequalities above and (3.11) one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}\| \dot{\lambda}_f(t) - \dot{\lambda}_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(t)(\| f(t) - g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C(t)(\| \lambda_f(t) - \lambda_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \mu_f(t) - \mu_g(t) \|_{L^\infty}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Now, (2.3) yields $(-re^{-2\lambda})' = 8\pi r^2 \rho$ and the integration of this on $[0, r]$ gives:

$$e^{-2\lambda(t,r)} = 1 - \frac{2m(t,r)}{r}. \tag{3.16}$$

So, combining (2.3) and (3.16), one obtains:

$$\mu'(t,r) = e^{2\lambda(t,r)}\left(\frac{m(t,r)}{r^2} + 4\pi r p(t,r)\right) \tag{3.17}$$

and using (3.17), (3.9) and the fact that

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \mu_f(t,r) = \lim_{r \to +\infty} \mu_g(t,r) = 0,$$

we can deduce by integration on $[0, +\infty[$ w.r.t r the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\| \mu_f(t) - \mu_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(t)(\| f(t) - g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} \|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C(t) \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

We have, since $\lambda + \mu \leq 0$ for both f and g:

$$| \partial_s e^{2\lambda_f} - \partial_s e^{2\lambda_g} | (s,r) \leq C(s)(\| e^{2\lambda_f(s)} - e^{2\lambda_g(s)} \|_{L^\infty} + \| \dot{\lambda}_f(s) - \dot{\lambda}_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}).$$

The insertion of (3.15) using (3.18) in the inequality above gives, by integration:

$$\begin{aligned}\| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} \|_{L^\infty} \leq& \| e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} - e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \|_{L^\infty} + C(t) \int_0^t \| f(s) - g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ &+ C(t) \int_0^t \| \lambda_f(s) - \lambda_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ &+ C(t) \int_0^t \| \mu_f(s) - \mu_g(s) \|_{L^\infty}) ds \\ &+ C(t) \int_0^t \| e^{2\lambda_f(s)} - e^{2\lambda_g(s)} \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ &+ C(t) \int_0^t \| e^{2\lambda_f(s)} e_f^2(s) - e^{2\lambda_g(s)} e_g^2(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Now, to obtain an estimate for the last term on the right hand side of (3.19), we use (3.14) and the fact that since $\mu \leq 0$ and $\lambda + \mu \leq 0$, one gets:

$$| N_g(s,r) | \leq (r_0 + t) \| \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} \leq C(s)$$

$$| e^{\mu_f} - e^{\mu_g} | (s,r) \leq | \mu_f - \mu_g | (s,r) \quad \text{(Mean value theorem)},$$

to deduce by integration that:

$$\begin{aligned}\| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \|_{L^\infty} \le & \| e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} \overset{\circ}{e}{}_f^2 - e^{2\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \overset{\circ}{e}{}_g^2 \|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \int_0^t C(s) \| f(s) - g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ & + \int_0^t C(s) \| \mu_f(s) - \mu_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ & + \int_0^t C(s) \| e^{\lambda_f(s)} e_f(s) - e^{\lambda_g(s)} e_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

To deduce an estimate for the last term in the right hand side of (3.20), we use once again (3.14) and obtain by integration:

$$\begin{aligned}\| e^{\lambda_f(t)} e_f(t) - e^{\lambda_g(t)} e_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \le & \| e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_f} \overset{\circ}{e}_f - e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}_g} \overset{\circ}{e}_g \|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \int_0^t C(s) \| f(s) - g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds \\ & + \int_0^t C(s) \| \mu_f(s) - \mu_g(s) \|_{L^\infty} \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

So, using (3.21), (3.20), (3.19), (3.12) and the Gronwall inequality, one obtains, since $C \in C([0, T_g[)$ depends only on g and can be taken strictly increasing with $\lim_{t \to T_g} C(t) = +\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| f(t) - g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \lambda_f(t) - \lambda_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} \|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g(t)} e_g^2(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| e^{\lambda_f(t)} e_f(t) - e^{\lambda_g(t)} e_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \le \xi(t) d \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and since (3.17) implies the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} | \mu_f' - \mu_g' | \le & \left(\left| \frac{m_f(t,r)}{r^2} \right| + \frac{r}{2} | p_f(t,r) | \right) | e^{2\lambda_f} - e^{2\lambda_g} | (t,r) \\ & + e^{2\lambda_g(t,r)} \left| \frac{m_f(t,r)}{r^2} - \frac{m_g(t,r)}{r^2} \right| + \frac{r}{2} | p_f - p_g | (t,r), \end{aligned}$$

one deduce from (3.22) that:

$$\| e_f(t) - e_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \dot{\lambda}_f(t) - \dot{\lambda}_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \mu_f'(t) - \mu_g'(t) \|_{L^\infty} \le \xi(t) d$$

where $\xi \in C([0, T_g[)$ depends only on g, strictly increasing, $\xi(0) > 0$, and $\lim_{t \to T_g} \xi(t) = +\infty$. Define

$$\varepsilon := \min \left\{ \varepsilon_1, \frac{1}{2\xi(0)} \right\}; \quad \sigma(\beta) := \xi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \right); \quad \beta \in]0, \varepsilon[.$$

Then $\sigma \in C(]0,\varepsilon[)$ is positive, strictly decreasing, and $\lim\limits_{\beta \to 0} \sigma(\beta) = T_g$. Let $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}) \in D$ be such that $0 < d < \varepsilon$. Then on the interval $[0, \min\{\sigma(d), T_0(f)\}]$ the following estimate holds since ξ is strictly increasing:

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) := {} & \| e_f(t) - e_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| e^{2\lambda_f} e_f^2(t) - e^{2\lambda_g} e_g^2(t) e_g^2(t) \|_{L^\infty} \\ & + \| \dot{\lambda}_f(t) - \dot{\lambda}_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \mu'_f(t) - \mu'_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq \xi(t) d < \xi(\sigma(d)) d = \frac{1}{2d} d = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$A(t) < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for} \quad t < \sigma(d). \tag{3.23}$$

Assume $T_f \leq \sigma(d)$. Then by definition of $T_0(f)$ and (3.23) we obtain the identity $T_0(f) = \min\{T_f, T_g\} = T_f$, in particular the estimate

$$| v | \leq U_g(\sigma(d)) < \infty,$$

holds for all $(\tilde{x}, v) \in \operatorname{supp} f(t)$ and $t \in [0, T_f[$. Since $T_f \leq \sigma(d) < \infty$, this is a contradiction to theorem 3.1, and we have shown that $T_f > \sigma(d)$. Furthermore, (3.23), implies that $T_0(f) > \sigma(d)$ so that the estimates which were established on the interval $[0, T_0(f)[$ hold on $[0, \sigma(d)]$, and the proof is complete.

Next, we use the above theorem and the following formula

$$\lambda'(t,r) = e^{2\lambda(t,r)} \left(-\frac{m(t,r)}{r^2} + \frac{r}{2} \rho(t,r) \right),$$

to obtain as it is proved in detail in [8], the

Corollary 3.1 *Let* $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}) \in D$ *be such that*

$$d = \| \overset{\circ}{f} - \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

Then the following inequalities hold on $[0, \sigma(d)]$*:*

$$\operatorname{supp} f(t) \subset \{ (\tilde{x}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \mid | \tilde{x} | \leq r_0 + t, \quad | v | \leq U_g(t) \}$$

$$\| \lambda'_f(t) - \lambda'_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} + \| \dot{\mu}_f(t) - \dot{\mu}_g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq S(t) d \tag{3.24}$$

$$\frac{1}{r} (| \dot{\lambda}_f(t) - \dot{\lambda}_g(t) | + | \lambda'_f(t) - \lambda'_g(t) | + | \mu'_f(t) - \mu'_g(t) |)(t,r) \leq S(t) d \tag{3.25}$$

$$| Z_f - Z_g | (t, 0, z) \leq S(t) d, \quad \textit{for} \quad z \in \operatorname{supp} \overset{\circ}{f} \cup \operatorname{supp} \overset{\circ}{g} \tag{3.26}$$

$$\| \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma f}(t) - \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma g}(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq S(t) d \tag{3.27}$$

$$\| R_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}_{\ ,\beta\gamma f}(t) - R_{\alpha}{}^{\delta}_{\ ,\beta\gamma g}(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq S(t) d, \tag{3.28}$$

where σ *and* ε *are introduced as in Theorem 3.2 and* $S \in C([0, T_g[)$ *is a positive increasing function.*

Using once again Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.1 in [7], one deduces the

Corollary 3.2 *With the assumption in Theorem 3.2, let* $\overset{\circ}{g} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^6)$. *Then the following*

$$\| \partial_z f(t) - \partial_z g(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq S(t)(\| \overset{\circ}{f} - \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} + \| \partial_z \overset{\circ}{f} - \partial_z \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty}), \quad t \in [0, \sigma(d)]$$

holds for initial data $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}, \overset{\circ}{f}) \in D$, *with* $d \leq \varepsilon$, *and* $\| \partial_z \overset{\circ}{f} - \partial_z \overset{\circ}{g} \|_{L^\infty} < 1$, *where* ε, σ *and* d *are as in Theorem 3.2 and the nonnegative, increasing function* $S \in C([0, T_g[)$ *being as in the Corollary 3.1.*

4 Global existence for small initial data

This section is concerned with the proof of a global existence theorem of solutions when the initial data and the charge of particle are sufficiently small. We also observe that in the corresponding spacetime, all geodesics are complete and then the obtained spacetime is complete. Here is the main result of this section:

Theorem 4.1 *For all* $r_0 > 0$, $u_0 > 0$ *and* $\Lambda > 0$ *there exists* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that if* (λ, μ, e, f) *is the maximal solution of the asymptotically flat, spherically symmetric EVM system with data* $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}, \overset{\circ}{f})$, *satisfying*

$$\mathrm{supp}\overset{\circ}{f} \subset B(r_0) \times B(u_0), \quad \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon, \| \overset{\circ}{\lambda} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \Lambda,$$

$(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ *being a regular solution of the constraints, then the solution exists globally in* t. *Moreover, it satisfies condition (FS) stated below on* $\mathbb{R}$ *with* $\delta = 1$ *and some constant* $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| p(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| k(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| M(t) \|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}((1+ \mid t \mid)^{-3}) \\ \| \lambda(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| \mu(t) \|_{L^\infty}, &= \mathcal{O}((1+ \mid t \mid)^{-1}) \\ \| \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| N(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| e(t) \|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}((1+ \mid t \mid)^{-2}) \\ \| R^{\beta}_{\alpha,\delta\gamma}(t) \|_{L^\infty} &= \mathcal{O}((1+ \mid t \mid)^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, *and the geodesics are defined on* $\mathbb{R}$.

Before giving a sketch of the proof of this result (reader can refer to [8] to get more details), we need the following result obtained by distinguishing the cases $r \leq r_0$ and $r \geq r_0$:

Proposition 4.1 *Let* $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}) \in D$. *Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\mid \overset{\circ}{e}(r) \mid \leq C \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty}$$

$$\mid \overset{\circ}{\mu}(r) \mid, \mid m(0, r) \mid \leq C \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} (1+ \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty})$$

for all $r \geq 0$, *where* C *is a constant with depends on* r_0 *and* Λ. *and* $m(0, r)$ *is deduced from (3.4) setting* $r = 0$.

4.1 The free-streaming (FS) condition

Take a regular solution (f, λ, μ, e) which exists on a time interval $[0, T[$. For $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $\gamma > 0$ we consider the following decay condition on an interval $[0, T'[\subset [0, T[$:

$$(FS) \quad \begin{cases} \mid \dot{\lambda}(t,r) \mid + \mid \dot{\mu}(t,r) \mid + \mid \lambda'(t,r) \mid + \mid \mu'(t,r) \mid \leq \gamma(1+t)^{-1-\delta} \\ \mid M(t,r) \mid + \left| \frac{e(t,r)}{r} \right| + \mid H(t,r) \mid \leq \gamma(1+t)^{-2-\delta} \\ \frac{1}{r}(\mid \dot{\lambda}(t,r) \mid + \mid \mu'(t,r) \mid + \mid \lambda'(t,r) \mid) \leq \gamma(1+t)^{-2-\delta} \\ \mid e(t,r) \mid \leq \gamma(1+t)^{-1-\delta} \end{cases}$$

for $t \in [0, T'[$ and $r \geq 0$; where

$$H = e^{-2\lambda} \left(\mu'' + (\mu' - \lambda')(\mu' + \frac{1}{r}) \right) - e^{-2\mu}(\ddot{\lambda} + \dot{\lambda}(\dot{\lambda} - \dot{\mu})).$$

The following result, obtained by using the Gronwall inequality, shows that under such an assumption, the momenta cannot grow very much:

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $u_0 > 0$. If γ is sufficiently small and if (f, λ, μ, e) is a solution which satisfies (FS) on an interval $[0, T'[$, then every solution of the characteristic system satisfies the estimate*

$$\mid V(t, 0, \tilde{x}, v) \mid \leq u_0 + 1, \ (\tilde{x}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times B(u_0), \ t \in [0, T'[.$$

Next, using Lemma 3.1 in [7], one obtains as in the uncharged case, that any solution which satisfies (FS) allows the matter quantity ρ to behave like t^{-3}. To obtain the latter, it suffices to show that $\det(\partial_v X(0, t, \tilde{x}, v))^{-1}$ decays like t^{-1} and one deduces:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + v^2} f(t, \tilde{x}, v) dv \leq C_1 t^{-3}, \quad t \in]0, T'[.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{2\lambda(t,r)} e^2(t, r) \leq \frac{e^2}{2} t^{-3},$$

we obtain the desired result that is:

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\delta \in]0, 1]$ and $r_0, u_0, C_0 > 0$. Then there exists constants $\gamma > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that if solution (f, λ, μ, e) satisfies the free-streaming condition (FS) on an interval $[0, T'[$, $\mathrm{supp} f(0) \subset B(r_0) \times B(u_0)$ and*

$$\parallel \mathring{f} \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mathring{\lambda} \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mathring{\mu} \parallel_{L^\infty} + \parallel \mathring{e} \parallel_{L^\infty} \leq C_0,$$

then

$$\parallel \rho(t) \parallel_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 t^{-3}, \quad t \in]0, T'[.$$

Remark 4.1 *We are not surprised by the fact that ρ decays like t^{-3}, since even in the Minkowski spacetime, free particles starting in a compact set spread out linearly with time and the associated density decays like t^{-3} as $t \to \infty$ (see [13]).*

4.2 Decay of the fields

Let (f, λ, μ, e) be a solution so that (FS) is satisfied. From the following estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
| e(t,r) | &\leq C\frac{1}{r^2}\int_0^r s^2 \mid M(t,s) \mid ds \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \\
| e(t,r) | &\leq C\frac{1}{r^3}\int_0^r s^2 \mid M(t,s) \mid ds \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \\
| H(t,r) | &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

one deduces that the decay of ρ implies the decay assumptions stated in (FS):

Lemma 4.3 *Let $r_0, C_0, C_1 > 1$. Then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that if (f, λ, μ, e) is a solution on $[0, T[$, satisfying the estimates*

$$\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1(1+t)^{-3}, \quad t \in [0, T'[,$$

and

$$\sup\{| \tilde{x} | \mid (\tilde{x}, v) \in \operatorname{supp} f(0)\} \leq r_0, \quad \| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0$$

for some $T' \in]0, T[$, then (f, λ, μ, e) satisfies the free-streaming condition (FS) on the interval $[0, T'[$ with the parameters $\delta = 1$ and γ.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let $r_0, u_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ be fixed. Take $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{e}) \in D$, where D is the set of initial data introduced in Sect.3. Using Proposition 4.1, we observe that if $\overset{\circ}{f}$ is small in the L^∞-norm, then so are $\overset{\circ}{\mu}$ and $\overset{\circ}{e}$. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough in such a way that for all nonnegative, spherically symmetric initial data $\overset{\circ}{f} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^6)$ with
$\operatorname{supp}\overset{\circ}{f} \subset B(r_0) \times B(u_0)$, and $\| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$ the estimates

$$8\pi \int_{|y| \leq r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+v^2}\overset{\circ}{f}(y,v)dvdy < r, \quad r \geq 0,$$

$$\| \overset{\circ}{\lambda} \|_{L^\infty} \leq \Lambda, \quad \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} + \| \overset{\circ}{\mu} \|_{L^\infty} + \| \overset{\circ}{e} \|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$$

hold. Using Theorem 3.1, we have for such initial data a local solution on some right maximal existence interval $[0, T[$ and we can choose $C_0 = 1$ when applying Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. Take $g = \lambda_g = \mu_g = e_g = 0$, and $T_g = 1$. Applying Theorem 3.2, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, a positive decreasing function $\xi \in C([0, 1])$ and a positive decreasing function $\sigma \in C(]0, \varepsilon])$ such that $\lim\limits_{\beta \to 0} \sigma(\beta) = 1$, and for any solution $(f, \lambda_f, \mu_f, e_f)$ with $d = \| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$, and the estimates below

$$\| f(t) \|_{L^\infty}, \| e^{2\lambda_f(t)} \|_{L^\infty}, \| e_f(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq \xi(t)\varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, \sigma(\varepsilon)]$$

and then

$$\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C\xi(t)\varepsilon, \quad t \in [0, \sigma(\varepsilon)],$$

where $C = C(u_0)$ is a constant. So, we can choose ε small enough to have $CL\varepsilon \leq 1$, where $L := \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \xi(t)$ and obtain a solution (f, λ, μ, e) which is defined on the interval $[0, 1]$, with

$$\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Take $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and choose a corresponding $\gamma > 0$ such that Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 hold. Let C_γ be the constant corresponding to γ and define

$$C^* := 8(C_\gamma + 1)$$

and we take for instance $T_1 = \frac{4\gamma_{C^*}^2}{\gamma^2} + 1$ to have

$$\gamma_{C^*}(1+t)^{-1} \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}(1+t)^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \quad \text{for}\, t \geq T_1.$$

Using Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.1 with $g = 0$, we can choose ε such that the solution (f, λ, μ, e) exists on $[0, T_1]$ and on this interval the condition (FS) with parameters $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ and γ considered above, provided $\| \overset{\circ}{f} \|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$. Set

$$T_2 := \sup\{t \in [0, T[\,|\, (f, \lambda, \mu, e)\, \text{satisfies}\, (FS)\, \text{on}\, [0, t]\}.$$

Then by definition $T_2 > T_1$, and using Lemma 4.2

$$\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\gamma t^{-3}, \quad t \in]0, T_2[,$$

and we use the fact that $\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, to establish the following inequality:

$$\| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C^*(1+t)^{-3}, \quad t \in [0, T_2[.$$

Note that the inequality above is obtained, distinguishing the cases $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $1 < t < T_2$. Now, using Lemma 4.3, the free-streaming condition (FS) holds with the parameters $\delta = 1$ and γ_{C^*}, and with the choice of T_1, (FS) holds again on $[T_1, T_2[$ with parameters $\frac{\gamma}{2}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. By the construction of T_2 we obtain $T_2 = T$. We deduce from Lemma 4.1

$$\text{supp} f(t) \subset \mathbb{R} \times B(u_0 + 1), \quad t \in [0, T[$$

and using Theorem 3.1, we conclude that $T = \infty$.

Note that the decay estimates of $p(t)$, $k(t)$, $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ come with the proof. So, we just have to estimate the metric, the Christoffel symbols and the Riemann curvature tensor. From

$$\lambda(t, r) = -\int_r^{+\infty} \lambda'(t, s)ds = -\int_r^{+\infty} e^{2\lambda(t,s)} \left(-\frac{m(t, s)}{s^2} + 4\pi s\rho(t, s) \right) ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\, \lambda(t, r) \,| &= \left| \lambda(0, r) + \int_0^t \dot{\lambda}(s, r)ds \right| \\ &\leq \| \lambda(0) \|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^\infty (1+s)^{-2} ds \\ &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

we deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned}| \lambda(t,r) | &\leq C\int_0^{r_0+t}\frac{m(t,s)}{s^2}ds + C\int_{r_0+t}^{\infty}\frac{M}{s^2}ds + C(1+t)^{-3}\int_0^{r_0+t} sds\\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-1},\ r_0 \geq 0,\ t\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $M > 0$ is the A.D.M mass of the solution. The estimates for μ are similar. The estimate of Christoffel symbols is based on the following:

$$\frac{1-e^{-2\lambda(t,r)}}{r} = \frac{2m(t,r)}{r^2} \leq 8\pi\int_0^{r_0+t}\rho(t,s)ds \leq C(1+t)^{-2},$$

and the estimate of Riemann curvature tensor is deduced from the above. Now, from the above decay, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\lambda(t,r) = \lim_{t\to+\infty}\mu(t,r) = 0$$

and since we get

$$\| e(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq \sqrt{2} \| \rho(t) \|_{L^\infty},$$

one concludes that $e(t,r) \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow 0}$. So, the solution (f,λ,μ,e) is asymptotically flat in time coordinate. To end the proof of Theorem 4.1, we notice that all geodesics are complete. To see the latter, one proceeds exactly as in the uncharged case (we refer to [10]). Thus the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

5 The Restricted Regularity Theorem

In this section, we aim to prove that a solution may be extended whenever f vanishes in a neighborhood of the center of symmetry. Take $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$, spherically symmetric, C^∞, compactly supported so that (3.1) is satisfied. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a solution of the constraints. Then Theorem 3.1 launches the regular solution (f,λ,μ,e) of the initial boundary value problem on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^6$, for some $T>0$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ and T be as above (T finite). Assume there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$f(t,\tilde{x},v) = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad 0\leq t < T \quad \textit{and} \quad |\ \tilde{x}\ | \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then (f,λ,μ,e) extends to a regular solution on $[0,T'[$ for some $T' > T$.

Proof: Define

$$P(t) := \sup\{|\ v\ |:\ (\tilde{x},v)\in \operatorname{supp} f(t)\} \tag{5.1}$$

By Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that $P(t)$ is bounded on $[0,T[$. To do so, we introduce the following notation:

$$u := |\ v\ |, \quad w := r^{-1}\tilde{x}.v, \quad L := r^2u^2 - (\tilde{x}.v)^2.$$

Note that the square root of L is called the angular momentum. Since L is constant along the characteristics, we obtain:

$$\dot{L} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

$$\dot{r} = e^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{w}{\sqrt{1+u^2}} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\dot{w} = \frac{L}{r^3\sqrt{1+u^2}} e^{\mu-\lambda} - w\dot{\lambda} - \mu' e^{\mu-\lambda}\sqrt{1+u^2} + qe^{\lambda+\mu}e. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, the values of any solution f of the Vlasov equation are conserved along characteristics. So,

$$0 \leq f \leq \sup \overset{\circ}{f} \leq C = C(\overset{\circ}{f}, \varepsilon, T).$$

Next, multiplying the Vlasov equation by $\sqrt{1+v^2}$ and integrating in v, using (3.14) to show that

$$1/2\partial_t(e^{2\lambda}e^2) = -qe^{\lambda+\mu}eN/r, \quad \partial_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

and the definition of $\dot{\lambda}$ plus the relation $\lambda' + \mu' = 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(\rho + p)$, yields the following conservation law:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname*{div}_{\tilde{x}} \left(e^{\mu-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f dv \right) = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

So, the integration of (5.5) in $\tilde{x}$-variable yields, since f is compactly supported:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t,\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(0,\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = C$$

and one deduces:

$$0 \leq m(t,r) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t,\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \leq C, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Also, we have $0 \leq L \leq C$ on the support of f. Thus

$$u^2 = w^2 + L/r^2 \leq w^2 + C/\varepsilon^2 \leq w^2 + C. \tag{5.6}$$

The inequality (5.6) shows that we need to obtain a bound for w. To do this, we introduce

$$P_i(t) := \inf\{w : \exists \tilde{x}, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } f(t,\tilde{x},v) \neq 0 \text{ and } w = r^{-1}\tilde{x}.v\}$$
$$P_s(t) := \sup\{w : \exists \tilde{x}, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with } f(t,\tilde{x},v) \neq 0 \text{ and } w = r^{-1}\tilde{x}.v\}.$$

Since

$$\text{measure}\{v : (\tilde{x}, v) \in \text{supp} f(t)\} \leq \pi C \varepsilon^{-2}(P_s(t) - P_i(t)), \quad |\tilde{x}| \geq \varepsilon \tag{5.7}$$

one deduces that if $P_i(t)$ and $P_s(t)$ are bounded, so is $P(t)$. To do so, we concentrate on the characteristic equation for w. Using (3.17), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{w} = {} & \frac{L}{r^3\sqrt{1+u^2}}e^{\mu-\lambda} - r^{-2}me^{\lambda+\mu}\sqrt{1+u^2} + 4\pi re^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \\ & + qe^{\mu+\lambda}e.\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

As it is shown in [11], the first term in the right hand side of (5.8) is bounded on the support of f. The same is true for the second term:

$$0 \le r^{-2}me^{\mu+\lambda}\sqrt{1+u^2} \le \varepsilon^{-2}C\sqrt{C+w^2} \le C\sqrt{C+w^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

We now focus on a bound of the contribution of electric field. The integration of (2.5) in r-variable on $[0, r]$ yields:

$$e(t,r) = \frac{q}{r^2}e^{-\lambda(t,r)}\int_0^r s^2e^{\lambda(t,s)}M(t,s)ds. \tag{5.10}$$

The total charge $Q(t)$ of the system is given by:

$$Q(t) := 4\pi q\int_0^{+\infty} s^2e^{\lambda(t,s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,s,v)dvds. \tag{5.10'}$$

Since the distribution function f satisfies the Vlasov equation one obtains the following conservation law (see Proposition 3.1, [7]):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fdv\right) + \operatorname*{div}_{\tilde{x}}\left(e^{\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}}fdv\right) = 0.$$

With the help of this, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q}(t) &= 4\pi q\int_0^{+\infty} s^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fdv\right)ds \\ &= 4\pi q\lim_{r\to+\infty}\int_0^r s^2\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fdv\right)ds \\ &= q\lim_{r\to+\infty}\int_{|y|\le r}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fdv\right)dy \\ &= -q\lim_{r\to+\infty}\int_{|y|\le r}\operatorname*{div}_{y}\left(e^{\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{v}{\sqrt{1+v^2}}fdv\right)dy \\ &= -q\lim_{r\to+\infty}\int_{|y|=r} e^{\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{y.v}{r\sqrt{1+v^2}}fdvd\omega(y) \\ &= -4\pi q\lim_{r\to+\infty} re^{\mu(t,r)}N(t,r), \quad \text{since} \int_{|y|=r} d\omega(y) = 4\pi r^2 \\ &= 0, \quad \text{since} N(t) \text{ is compactly supported.}\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Q(t)$ is a constant and we can write: $Q(t) = Q(0) := Q$. So with the above we can deduce a bound of $e(t,r)$, since $\lambda \geq 0$:

$$\mid e(t,r) \mid \leq Q/(4\pi r^2) \leq C\varepsilon^{-2} \leq C. \tag{5.11}$$

and we have a bound of the fourth term in the right hand side of (5.8), since $\lambda + \mu \leq 0$:

$$0 \leq \mid q e^{\mu+\lambda} e(t,r) \mid \leq C. \tag{5.12}$$

Using the above inequalities, we obtain the following estimate for $\dot{w}$:

$$-C\sqrt{C+w^2} + 4\pi r e^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \leq \dot{w} \leq C + 4\pi r e^{\mu+\lambda}(wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}) \tag{5.13}$$

Since $0 \leq 4\pi r e^{\mu+\lambda} \leq C$ on the support of f, we concentrate on the quantity $wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2}$. Set

$$\tilde{w} := r^{-1}\tilde{x}.\tilde{v}, \quad \tilde{u} := \mid \tilde{v} \mid, \quad \tilde{L} := r^2\tilde{u}^2 - (\tilde{x}.\tilde{v})^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} wk - p\sqrt{1+u^2} = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,\tilde{x},\tilde{v}) \left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2}\frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+u^2}e^{2\lambda}e^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Using once again (5.10), and the fact that the total charge is conserved with time t one obtains:

$$0 \leq e^{2\lambda}e^2 \leq C/r^4 \leq C\varepsilon^{-4} \leq C.$$

So, the above and (5.6), yield:

$$0 \leq 1/2\sqrt{1+u^2}e^{2\lambda}e^2 \leq C\sqrt{C+w^2} \leq C\sqrt{C+P_s^2(t)}.$$

Next, for $w > 0$, if $\tilde{w} < 0$ then the first term in the right hand side of (5.14) is negative and we obtain the following estimate for $\dot{w}$:

$$\dot{w} \leq C + C\sqrt{C+P_s^2(t)}. \tag{5.15}$$

For $\tilde{w} > 0$, one has (see [11]):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2}\frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}} \right) d\tilde{v} \leq C P_s(t) \ln(1+P_s^2(t))$$

and using (5.14) we deduce:

$$\dot{w} \leq C + CP_s(t) + CP_s(t)\ln(1+P_s^2(t)).$$

Set $\varphi(x) = \ln(1+x^2) - 1$, $\alpha = \sqrt{e-1}$. Then $\varphi(\alpha) = 0$, $\varphi(x) > 0$ for $x \in]\alpha, +\infty[$ and $\varphi(x) < 0$ for $x \in [0,\alpha[$. Suppose that $P_s(t) > \alpha$ for $t \in [0,T[$. Then $P_s(t) \leq P_s(t)\ln(1+P_s^2(t))$ and we deduce from the inequality above that:

$$\dot{w} \leq C + CP_s(t)\ln(1+P_s^2(t)) + C\frac{\ln(1+P_s^2(t))}{P_s(t)}. \tag{5.16}$$

If $0 < P_s(t) < \alpha$ for $t \in [0, T[$, then $P_s(t)\ln(1 + P_s^2(t)) \le P_s(t)$, and we deduce from the above that:

$$\dot{w} \le C + CP_s(t). \tag{5.17}$$

Let $w(\tau)$ be the values of w along a characteristic and set:

$$t_0 := \inf\{\tau \ge 0 : w(s) \ge 0 \,\text{for}\, s \in]\tau, t(\}.$$

Then $w(t_0) \le C$ and (5.16) yields by integration:

$$w(t) \le C + C\int_{t_0}^{t}\left(P_s(\tau)\ln(1 + P_s^2(\tau)) + \frac{\ln(1 + P_s^2(\tau))}{P_s(\tau)}\right)d\tau.$$

Set $\bar{P}_s(\tau) := \max(0, P_s(\tau))$, then for $t_0 = 0$, we can write:

$$\bar{P}_s(t) \le C + C\int_{0}^{t}\left(\bar{P}_s(\tau)\ln(1 + \bar{P}_s{}^2(\tau)) + \frac{\ln(1 + \bar{P}_s{}^2(\tau))}{\bar{P}_s(\tau)}\right)d\tau$$

and using the generalized form of the Gronwall inequality (see [15], page 26), one has:

$$\bar{P}_s(t) \le z(t),$$

where z is a solution of the following differential equation:

$$\dot{z}(t) = Cz(t)\ln(1 + z^2(t)) + C\frac{\ln(1 + z^2(t))}{z(t)}$$

and since $z(t) = \sqrt{\exp(e^{2Ct}) - 1}$ is a solution of the equation above, one finally obtains:

$$P_s(t) \le \bar{P}_s(t) \le \sqrt{\exp(e^{2Ct}) - 1} \le C. \tag{5.18}$$

Also, we integrate (5.17) on $[0, t]$ and obtain:

$$\bar{P}_s(t) \le C + C\int_0^t \bar{P}_s(\tau)d\tau$$

and deduce by Gronwall's lemma the following bound of $P_s(t)$:

$$P_s(t) \le \max(\alpha, e^{Ct}), \quad t \in [0, T[.$$

Note that the above is valid in the case $P_s(t) < 0$. We now look for a bound of $P_i(t)$. Suppose $P_i(t) < 0$ and consider w in supp$f$ with $P_i(t) < w < 0$. For $\tilde{w} \le 0$, we get (see [11]):

$$w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1 + u^2}\frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{u}^2}} \ge -C\frac{\mid \tilde{w} \mid}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{w}^2}}$$

and one uses (5.18) to obtain, for $w < 0 < \tilde{w} \le P_s(t)$:

$$w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1 + u^2}\frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1 + \tilde{u}^2}} \ge Cw - C\sqrt{C + w^2}.$$

So, we deduce:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f\left(w\tilde{w} - \sqrt{1+u^2}\frac{\tilde{w}^2}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{u}^2}}\right) d\tilde{v} \geq -CP_i^2(t)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + C\bar{P}_s(t)(w - \sqrt{C+w^2}).$$

$$\dot{w} \geq -C\sqrt{C+w^2} - CP_i^2(t)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w^2}} + Cw$$

$$\dot{w}^2 = 2w\dot{w} \leq C + CP_i^2(t).$$

Set

$$t_1 := \inf\{\tau \geq 0 : \ w(s) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \in]\tau, t[\}.$$

Then $0 \geq w(t_1) \geq -C$ and one proceeds as before to obtain:

$$P_i^2(t) \leq C + C\int_0^t P_i^2(\tau)d\tau, \quad P_i(t) < 0,$$

and the Gronwall lemma yields:

$$P_i^2(t) \leq Ce^{Ct} \leq C, \text{ for } t \in [0, T[.$$

Besides, if $P_i(t) \geq 0$ then

$$0 \leq P_i(t) \leq P_s(t) \leq C,$$

and $P_i(t)$ is also bounded for that case. So, $P(t)$ is bounded and Theorem 5.1 is proved.

We now focus on what happens when we are far from the center.

6 The Exterior Problem

Let r_1 and T be positive real numbers. Consider the exterior region:

$$W(T, r_1) := \{(t, r) : \ 0 \leq t < T, \quad r \geq r_1 + t\}.$$

In what follows, the initial value problem (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.9), and (2.10) are going to be studied on a domain of this kind. Take $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$, spherically symmetric, C^∞, compactly supported and defined on the region $\mid \tilde{x} \mid \geq r_1$ and let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a regular solution of the constraint equations on that region. Since we are away from the center of symmetry, we have to change the boundary conditions (2.9) as follows: Let $m_\infty \geq 4\pi\int_{r_1}^\infty s^2\rho(0, s)ds$, and $M_\infty \geq \int_{r_1}^\infty s^2 e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(s)} M(0, s)ds$. Take any solution of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) on $W(T, r_1)$ and define

$$\begin{cases} m(t, r) := m_\infty - 4\pi\int_r^\infty s^2\rho(t, s)ds \\ \bar{M}(t, r) := M_\infty - \int_r^\infty s^2 e^{\lambda(t,s)} M(t, s)ds \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Then for all $r \geq r_1$, $m(0,r) \geq 0$. So, we replace (2.9) by:

$$\begin{cases} e^{-2\lambda(t,r)} = 1 - 2\frac{m(t,r)}{r}; \quad \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \lambda(t,r) = \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \mu(t,r) = 0 \\ e(t,r) = \frac{q}{r^2} e^{-\lambda(t,r)} \bar{M}(t,r); \quad \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} e(t,r) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Note that the restriction of a solution of the original problem with boundary conditions (2.9) on $W(T, r_1)$, satisfies (6.2) if m_∞ is chosen to be the A.D.M mass of the solution on the entire space, and $M_\infty = Q/(4\pi q)$, Q being the total charge given by (5.10'). Besides, to obtain a local existence theorem as in [7], we use the fact that (6.2) must hold on the initial hypersurface $t = 0$ that leads to the following condition:

$$m_\infty - \int\limits_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+v^2} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} < \frac{r}{2}, \quad r \geq r_1 \tag{6.3}$$

Theorem 6.1 (Local existence and uniqueness) *Fix $m_\infty > 0$. Let $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$ be a spherically symmetric function on the region $\mid \tilde{x} \mid \geq r_1$ which is C^∞ and compactly supported. Let $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ be a regular solution of the constraint equations on the region $r \geq r_1$. Suppose that (6.3) holds and that*

$$\int\limits_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+v^2} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} < m_\infty \tag{6.4}$$

$$\int\limits_{|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1} e^{\overset{\circ}{\lambda}(\tilde{x})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \overset{\circ}{f}(\tilde{x}, v) dv \right) d\tilde{x} \leq 4\pi M_\infty. \tag{6.4'}$$

Then there exists a unique regular solution of 2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) on the region $W(T, r_1)$ with $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ which satisfies the boundary conditions (6.2).

Proof: Let $\overset{\circ}{f}$ be as in Theorem 6.1. Suppose

$$\mathrm{supp} \overset{\circ}{f} \subset B(R) \times B(R'), \quad R, R' > 0$$

where $B(R)$ is the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with radius R. We only have to check that one can construct a solution satisfying the constraints and use [7] to obtain the desired result. If $r_1 \geq R$, then the constraint equations reduces to the static Einstein-Maxwell system and a solution for this system on the region $r \geq r_1$ is a part of the Reissner-Nordström solution [4]. Now, if $r_1 < R$, since the constraint equations is invariant with translation we can use perturbation techniques to establish a solution for the corresponding Cauchy problem provided $\overset{\circ}{f}$ satisfies an appropriate condition like (3.1). The reader can refer to [6], to have more details. So Theorem 6.1 is proved.

We now derive a corresponding continuation criterion, with proof being as that of Theorem 3.2 in [11]. Before doing so, we mean by maximal interval of existence for the exterior problem, the largest region $W(T, r_1)$ on which a solution exists with given initial data and the parameters r_1 and m_∞.

Theorem 6.2 (Continuation Criterion) *Fix* $m_\infty > 0$. *Let* $\overset{\circ}{f} \geq 0$ *be a spherically symmetric function on the region* $|\tilde{x}| \geq r_1$ *which is* C^∞*, compactly supported and satisfies (6.3) and (6.4). Let* $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ *be a regular solution of the constraints on the region* $r \geq r_1$ *so that (6.4') holds. Let* (f, λ, μ, e) *be a regular solution of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) on* $W(T, r_1)$ *with initial data* $(\overset{\circ}{f}, \overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$. *If* $T < \infty$ *and* $W(T, r_1)$ *is the maximal interval of existence, then* P *is bounded.*

7 The Regularity Theorem

Here we consider the initial boundary value problem (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.9) and (2.10). The following result shows that if a solution of this Cauchy problem develops a singularity at all, the first one must be at the center.

Theorem 7.1 *Let* $(\overset{\circ}{\lambda}, \overset{\circ}{\mu}, \overset{\circ}{e})$ *be a solution of the constraint equations. Let* (f, λ, μ, e) *be the corresponding regular solution defined on a time interval* $[0, T[$. *Suppose that there exists an open neighborhood* U *of the point* $(T, 0)$ *such that*

$$\sup\{|v| : (t, \tilde{x}, v) \in \mathrm{supp} f \cap (U \times \mathbb{R}^3)\} < \infty. \tag{7.1}$$

Then (f, λ, μ, e) *extends to a regular solution on* $[0, T'[$ *for some* $T' > T$.

Proof: See [11].

Acknowledgment: The author is grateful to A.D. Rendall for helpful suggestions. This work was supported by a research grant from the VolkswagenStiftung, Federal Republic of Germany.

References

[1] Chruściel, P. T. and Shatah, J., Global existence of solutions of the Yang-Mills equations on globally hyperbolic four-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds. Asian J. Math. 1, 530-548, 1997

[2] Eardley, D. and Moncrief, V., The global existence of Yang-Mills fields in M^{3+1}. Commun. Math. Phys. 83, 171-212, 1982

[3] Glassey, R. T. and Schaeffer, J., The two and one half dimensional Vlasov-Maxwell system. Commun. Math. Phys. 185, 257-284, 1997

[4] Hawking, S.W. and Ellis, G.F.R., The large scale structure of spacetime, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical physics, 1973

[5] Klainerman, S. and Machedon, M., Finite energy solutions of the Yang-Mills equations in $\mathbb{R}^{3+1}$. Ann. Math. 142, 39-119, 1995

[6] Noundjeu P., Noutchegueme N., Rendall A.D., Existence of initial data satisfying the constraints for the spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, Preprint gr-qc/0303064, Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 45, Issue 2, February 2004, 668-676

[7] Noundjeu P., Noutchegueme N., Local existence and continuation criterion for solutions of the spherically symmetric Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system, Preprint gr-qc/0311081, General Relativity and Gravitation, vol.36, June (2004), 1371-1396

[8] Noundjeu P., The Einstein-Vlasov-Maxwell system with spherical symmetry, PhD thesis, Technical University of Berlin, April 2005

[9] Papapetrou A., Lecture on general relativity, D. Reidel Publishing Compagny, Dordrecht, Holland, p. 63, 1974

[10] Rein G., The Vlasov-Einstein System with Surface Symmetry, Habilitationsschrift zur Erlangung der venia legendi für das Fach Mathematik am Fachbereich Mathematik der Ludwig-Maximilaians-Universität, März 1995, Mathematisches Institut der Universität München

[11] Rein, G.; Rendall, A.D.; and Schaeffer, J., A Regularity Theorem for Solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Vlasov-Einstein system, Comm. Math. Phys.168, p.467-478, 1995

[12] Rein, G., and Rendall, A.D., Global Existence of Solutions of the Spherically Symmetric Vlasov-Einstein System with Small Initial Data, Comm. Math. Phys., p. 561-583, 1992

[13] Rendall, A.D., An introduction to the Einstein-Vlasov system, Banach Center Publications, Vol.41, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academic of Sciences, Warszawa, 1997

[14] Rendall, A.D., Cosmic censorship and the Vlasov equation, Class. Quantum Grav.9 (1992), L99-L104

[15] Taylor, M.E., Partial differential equations, Basic theory, Springer-Verlag New-York, 1996

[16] Wald R.M., General relativity, the University of Chicago Press,1984